arXiv:1512.01744v4 [math.AG] 4 Jul 2016

JORDAN GROUPS, CONIC BUNDLES AND ABELIAN VARIETIES

TATIANA BANDMAN AND YURI G. ZARHIN

Abstract. A group G is called *Jordan* if there is a positive integer $J = J_G$ such that every finite subgroup $\mathcal{B}$ of G contains a commutative subgroup $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathcal{A}$ is normal in $\mathcal{B}$ and the index $[\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{A}] \le J$ (V.L. Popov). In this paper we deal with Jordaness properties of the groups $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ of birational automorphisms of irreducible smooth projective varieties X over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. It is known (Yu. Prokhorov - C. Shramov) that $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ is Jordan if X is *non-uniruled*. On the other hand, the second named author proved that $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ is *not* Jordan if X is birational to a product of the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1$ and a positive-dimensional abelian variety.

We prove that $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ is Jordan if (uniruled) X is a *conic bundle* over a *non-uniruled* variety Y but is *not* birational to $Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$. (Such a conic bundle exists if and only if $\dim(Y) \ge 2$.) When Y is an abelian surface, this Jordaness property result gives an answer to a question of Prokhorov and Shramov.

1. Introduction

In this paper we deal with the groups of birational and biregular automorphisms of algebraic varieties in characteristic *zero*.

If X is an irreducible algebraic variety over a field K of characteristic zero then we write $\mathcal{O}_X$ for the structure sheaf of X, $\mathrm{Aut}(X) = \mathrm{Aut}_K(X)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Bir}(X) = \mathrm{Bir}_K(X)$) for the group of its biregular (resp. birational) automorphisms and $K(X)$ for the field of rational functions on X. We have

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X) \subset \mathrm{Bir}(X) = \mathrm{Aut}_K(K(X))$$

where $\mathrm{Aut}_K(K(X))$ is the group of K-linear field automorphisms of $K(X)$. We write id_X for the identity automorphism of X, which is the identity element of the groups $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ and $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$. If n is a positive integer then we write $\mathbb{P}^n_K$ (or just $\mathbb{P}^n$ when it does not cause a confusion) for the n-dimensional projective space over K.

If X is smooth projective then we write $q(X)$ for its *irregularity*. For example, if X is an abelian variety then $q(X) = \dim(X)$.

In what follows we write k for an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. We write $\cong$ and $\sim$ for an isomorphism and birational isomorphism of algebraic varieties respectively. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a finite commutative group then we call its rank the smallest

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14E07, 14J50, 14L30, 14K05, 14H45, 14H37, 20G15.

The second named author is partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#246625 to Yuri Zarkhin). Part of this work was done in May-June 2016 during his stay at the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, whose hospitality and support are gratefully acknowledged.

possible number of its generators and denote it by $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{A})$. If $\mathcal{B}$ is a finite group then we write $\mid \mathcal{B} \mid$ for its order.

1.1. **Jordan groups.** Recall (Popov [21, 22]) the following definitions that were motivated by the classical theorem of Jordan about finite subgroups of the complex matrix group $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ [3, §36].

Definition 1.1. Let G be a group.

- G is called *Jordan* if there is a positive integer $J = J_G$ such that every finite subgroup $\mathcal{B}$ of G contains a commutative subgroup $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathcal{A}$ is normal in $\mathcal{B}$ and the index $[\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{A}] \le J$.
- We say that G has *finite subgroups of bounded rank* if there is a positive integer $m = m_G$ such that any finite abelian subgroup $\mathcal{A}$ of G can be generated by at most m elements [20, 23].
- We call a Jordan group G *strongly Jordan* if there is a positive integer $m = m_G$ such that any finite abelian subgroup $\mathcal{A}$ of G can be generated by, at most, m elements [20]. In other words, G is strongly Jordan if it is Jordan and has finite abelian subgroups of bounded rank.
- We say that G is *bounded* [22, 23] if there is a positive integer $C = C_G$ such that the order of every finite subgroup of G does not exceed C. (A bounded group is Jordan and even strongly Jordan.)

One may introduce similar definitions for families of groups [21, 23].

Definition 1.2. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a family of groups.

- We say that $\mathcal{G}$ is *uniformly Jordan* (resp. *uniformly strongly Jordan*) if there is a positive integer $\mathcal{J}$ (resp. there are positive integers $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{M}$) such that each $G \in \mathcal{G}$ enjoys Jordan property (resp. strong Jordan property) with $J_G = \mathcal{J}$ (resp. with $J_G = \mathcal{J}$ and $m_G = \mathcal{M}$).
- We say that $\mathcal{G}$ is *uniformly bounded* if there is a positive integer C such that the order of every finite subgroup of every G from $\mathcal{G}$ does *not* exceed C. (See [23, Remark 2.9 on p. 2058].)

Remark 1.1. In the terminology of [23, p. 2067], a family $\mathcal{G}$ is uniformly strongly Jordan if and only if it is uniformly Jordan and has finite subgroups of uniformly bounded rank.

1.2. **Jordan properties of** $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ **and** $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$**.** Let X be an irreducible quasiprojective variety over k. There is the natural group embedding

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Bir}(X)$$

that allows us to view $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$. In particular, the Jordan property (resp. the strong Jordan property) for $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ implies the same property for $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$. However, the converse is not necessarily true. More precisely:

- It is known that $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is Jordan if $\dim(X) \le 2$ [21, 29, 1].

- It is also known (Popov [21]) that $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ is Jordan if $\dim(X) \leq 2$ and X is *not* birational to a product $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$ of an elliptic curve E and the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1$. (The Jordan property of the two-dimensional Cremona group $\mathrm{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ was established earlier by J.-P. Serre [27].)
- In the remaining case $\mathrm{Bir}(E \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ is *not* Jordan. More generally, if A is an abelian variety of *positive dimension* over k and n is a positive integer, then $\mathrm{Bir}(A \times \mathbb{P}^n)$ is *not* Jordan [28].

  Notice that $\mathrm{Bir}(A)$ coincides with $\mathrm{Aut}(A)$ and is strongly Jordan [21]. Actually, if $\mathbf{A}_d$ is the family of groups $\mathrm{Bir}(A)$ when A runs through the set of all d-dimensional abelian varieties over k then $\mathbf{A}_d$ is uniformly strongly Jordan [23, Corollary 2.15 on p. 2058].
- In higher dimensions, a recent result of Meng and Zhang [16] asserts that $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is Jordan if X is *projective*.

For groups of birational automorphisms in higher dimensions Prokhorov and Shramov [23] proved the following strong result [23, Th. 1.8].

Theorem 1.2. (1) *If X is non-uniruled then $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ is Jordan.*
(2) *If X is non-uniruled and $q(X) = 0$ then $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ is bounded.*

Remark 1.3. Prokhorov and Shramov [23, Remark 6.9 on p. 2065] noticed that if X is non-uniruled then $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ has finite subgroups of bounded rank. This means that in the non-uniruled case $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ is *strongly Jordan*.

In addition, in dimension 3 Prokhorov and Shramov proved [24, 23] that:

- If $q(X) = 0$ then $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ is *Jordan*.
- If X is rationally connected then $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ is strongly Jordan. Even better, if X varies in the set of rationally connected threefolds then the corresponding family of groups $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ is *uniformly strongly Jordan* [24, Th. 1.7 and 1.10].

Actually, they proved all these assertions in arbitrary dimension d, assuming that the well-known conjecture of A. Borisov, V. Alexeev and L. Borisov (BAB conjecture [2]) about the boundedness of families of d-dimensional Fano varieties with terminal singularities is valid in dimension d.

In light of their results it remains to investigate Jordaness properties of $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ when X is *uniruled* with $q(X) > 0$. According to Prokhorov and Shramov [23, p. 2069], it is natural to start with a *conic bundle* X over an *abelian surface* A when X is *not* birational to a product $A \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

In this work we prove that in this case $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ is Jordan. Actually, we prove the following more general statement.

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be an irreducible smooth projective variety of dimension $d \geq 3$ over k and $f : X \to Y$ be a surjective morphism over k from X to a $(d-1)$-dimensional abelian variety Y over k. Let us assume that the generic fiber of f is a genus zero smooth projective irreducible curve $\mathcal{X}_f$ over $k(Y)$ without $k(Y)$-points. Then $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ is strongly Jordan.*

We deduce Theorem 1.4 from the following more general statement.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $d \geq 3$ be a positive integer, X and Y are smooth irreducible projective varieties over k of dimension d and $d-1$ respectively. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a surjective morphism, whose generic fiber is a genus zero smooth projective irreducible curve $\mathcal{X}_f$ over $k(Y)$ without $k(Y)$-points. Assume that Y is non-uniruled. Then* $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ *is strongly Jordan.*

The following assertion is a variant of Theorem 1.5

Theorem 1.6. *Let $d \geq 3$ be a positive integer, X and Y are smooth irreducible projective varieties over k of dimension d and $d-1$ respectively. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a surjective morphism. Suppose that there exists a nonempty open subset U of X such that for all $y \in U(k)$ the corresponding fiber X_y of f is k-isomorphic to the projective line over k (i.e. the general fiber $X_y \cong \mathbb{P}^1_k$).*

Assume that Y is non-uniruled and f does not admit a rational section $Y \dashrightarrow X$. Then $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ *is strongly Jordan.*

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 deals with Jordaness of groups. In Section 3 we remind basic properties of conic bundles over non-uniruled varieties. In section (4) we describe finite subgroups of automorphisms group of a conics without rational points. We prove main results of the paper in Section 5.

Acknowledgements. We are deeply grateful to the referee, whose comments helped to improve the exposition; especially, for the suggestions to include the discussion of automorphism groups of even-dimensional quadrics over function fields (see the end of Section 4) and the case of non-smooth varieties (see Remark 5.4).

2. Group Theory

We will need the following useful result of Anton Klyachko [23, Lemma 2.8 on p.2057]. (See also [29, Lemma 6.2].)

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ be families of groups such that $\mathcal{G}_1$ is uniformly bounded and $\mathcal{G}_2$ is uniformly strongly Jordan. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a family of groups G such that G sits in an exact sequence*

$$\{1\} \to G_1 \to G \to G_2 \to \{1\},$$

where $G_1 \in \mathcal{G}_1$ and $G_2 \in \mathcal{G}_2$. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is uniformly Jordan.

Actually, we will use the following slight refinement of Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.2. *In the notation and assumptions of Lemma 2.1 the family $\mathcal{G}$ is uniformly strongly Jordan.*

Proof. The assertion follows readily from Lemma 2.1 combined with Lemma 2.7 of [23, p. 2057] about extensions of groups with uniformly bounded ranks. □

Corollary 2.3. *Let d be a positive integer. Let $\mathcal{G}_1$ be a uniformly bounded family of groups. Let $\mathbf{A}_d$ be the family of groups $\mathrm{Bir}(A)$ where A runs through the set of all d-dimensional abelian varieties over k. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a family of groups G such that there exists an exact sequence*

$$\{1\} \to G_1 \to G \to G_2 \to \{1\},$$

where $G_1 \in \mathcal{G}_1$ and $G_2 \in \mathbf{A}_d$. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is uniformly Jordan.

Proof. One has only to recall that $\mathcal{G}_2 := \mathbf{A}_d$ is uniformly strongly Jordan [23, Corollary 2.15 on p. 2058] and apply Lemma 2.2. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a strongly Jordan group and let H be a subgroup of G. Suppose that there exists a positive integer N_H such that every periodic element in H has order that does not exceed N_H.*

Then H is bounded.

Proof. Let J_G be the Jordan index of H. We know that there is a positive integer m_G such that every finite abelian subgroup in G is generated by, at most, m_G elements.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a finite subgroup of H. Clearly, $\mathcal{B}$ is a sugroup of G as well. Then $\mathcal{B}$ contains a finite abelian subgroup $\mathcal{A}$ with index $[\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{A}] \le J_G$. The abelian group $\mathcal{A}$ is generated by, at most, m_G elements, each of which has order $\le N_H$. This implies that $|\mathcal{A}| \le N_H^{m_G}$ and therefore

$$|\mathcal{B}| \le J_H \cdot |\mathcal{A}| \le J_G \cdot N_H^{m_G}.$$

□

Recall [20] that the matrix group $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is *strongly* Jordan and its every finite abelian subgroup is generated by, at most, n elements. This implies that for any field K of characteristic zero the matrix group $\mathrm{GL}(n, K)$ is a strongly Jordan group with Jordan index $J_{\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})}$ (see [22, Sect. 1.2.2 on p. 187]); in addition, its every finite abelian subgroup is generated by, at most, n elements. Combining this observation with Lemma 2.4 and the last formula of its proof, we obtain the following assertion that may be of independent interest.

Theorem 2.5. *Let K be a field of characteristic zero and n a positive integer. Suppose that H is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n, K)$ and N is a positive integer such that every periodic element in H has order $\le N$. Then there exist a positive integer $\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{N}(n, N)$ that depends only on n and N, and such that every finite subgroup in H has order $\le \mathbf{N}$. In particular, H is bounded.*

3. Conic bundles

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a surjective morphism of smooth irreducible projective varieties of positive dimension over k. Since X and Y are projective, f is a *projective* morphism. It is well known [9, Ch. III, Sect. 10, Cor. 10.7] that there is an open Zariski dense subset $U = U(f)$ of Y such that the restriction $f^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{f} U$ is smooth ([9, Ch.

III, Sect. 10, Cor. 10.7]) and flat ([18, Lect. 8, 2°], [7, Theorem 6.9.1]). Thus the *generic fiber* $\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{X}_f$ is a smooth projective variety over $k(Y)$ and all its irreducible components have dimension $\dim(X) - \dim(Y)$. ([9, Ch. III, Sect. 9, Corollary 9.6]), ([9, Ch. III, Sect. 10, Prop. 10.1]). In addition, if y is a closed point of U then the corresponding fiber X_y of f is a smooth projective variety over the field $k(y) = k$ and all its irreducible components have dimension $\dim(X) - \dim(Y)$.

Notice that *dominant* f defines the field embedding

$$f^* : k(Y) \hookrightarrow k(X)$$

that is the identity map on k. Further we will identify $k(Y)$ with its image in $k(X)$. The field of rational functions of $\mathcal{X}_f$ coincides with $k(X)$ and the group of birational automorphisms $\mathrm{Bir}_{k(Y)}(\mathcal{X}_f)$ coincides with (sub)group

$$\mathrm{Aut}(k(X)/k(Y)) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(k(X)/k) = \mathrm{Bir}_k(X) \tag{1}$$

that consists of all automorphisms of the field $k(X)$ leaving invariant every element of $k(Y)$.

We say that X is a *conic bundle* over Y if the generic fiber $\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{X}_f$ is an absolutely irreducible genus 0 curve over $k(Y)$. (See [25, 26].) In particular, $\dim(X) - \dim(Y) = 1$ and therefore the general fiber of f is a (smooth projective) curve.

Remark 3.1. As usual, by the *general fiber* of f we mean the fiber X_y of f over a point y from some nonempty open subset of Y. If the generic fiber is an irreducible smooth projective curve then there is an open nonempty subset U of Y such that for all closed points $y \in U$ the corresponding (closed) fibers X_y are irreducible smooth projective curves over $k(y) = k$ as well ([8, Corollary 9.5.6, Proposition 9.7.8]). Semi-continuity Theorem ([9, Ch III, Theorem 12.8],[17, Corollary, p.47] implies that the general fiber has genus zero if and only if the same is true for the generic fiber. Thus, the condition that the generic fiber is a smooth irreducible curve of genus zero is in our setting equivalent to the same condition for the general fiber.

Remark 3.2. If the genus 0 curve $\mathcal{X}_f$ has a $k(Y)$-rational point then it is biregular to the projective line over $k(Y)$ [10, Th. A.4.3.1 on p. 75]. This implies that X is k-birational to $Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$. It follows from [28] that if Y is an abelian variety (of positive dimension) then $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ is *not* Jordan.

Example 3.3. Let us consider a smooth projective plane quadric

$$\mathcal{X}_q = \{a_1T_1^2 + a_2T_2^2 + a_3T_3^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2{}_{k(Y)}$$

over the field $K := k(Y)$ where all a_i are *nonzero* elements of $k(Y)$ such that the nondegenerate ternary quadratic form

$$q(T) = a_1T_1^2 + a_2T_2^2 + a_3T_3^2$$

in $T = (T_1, T_2, T_3)$ is *anisotropic* over $k(Y)$, i.e., $q(T) \neq 0$ if all $T_i \in k(Y)$ and, at least, one of them is not 0 in $k(Y)$. (It follows from [12, Th. 1 on p. 155] that such a form exists if and only if $2^{\dim(Y)} \geq 3$, i.e., if and only if $\dim(Y) \geq 2$.) Clearly, $\mathcal{X}_q$

is an absolutely irreducible smooth projective curve of genus 0 over K that does *not* have K-points.

We want to construct a conic bundle with generic fiber $\mathcal{X}_q$ without K-rational point. First, let us consider the field $K(\mathcal{X}_q)$ of the rational functions on $\mathcal{X}_q$. It is finitely generated over K and has transcendence degree 1 over it. This implies that $K(\mathcal{X}_q)$ is finitely generated over k and has transcendence degree $\dim(Y)+1$ over it. Since $\mathcal{X}_q$ is absolutely irreducible over K, the latter is algebraically closed in $K(\mathcal{X}_q)$.

By Hironaka's results, there is an irreducible smooth projective variety X over k of dimension $\dim(Y)+1$ with $k(X)=K(\mathcal{X}_q)$ such that the dominant rational map $f: X \to Y$ induced by the field embedding $k(Y)=K \subset K(\mathcal{X}_q)=k(X)$ is actually a morphism. Clearly, the generic fiber $\mathcal{X}_f$ is a smooth projective variety, all whose irreducible components have dimension 1. Since K is algebraically closed in $K(\mathcal{X}_q)=k(X)$, the curve $\mathcal{X}_f$ is absolutely irreducible over K [6, Cor. 4.3.7 and Remark 4.3.8]. On the other hand, the field $K(\mathcal{X}_f)$ of rational functions on the K-curve $\mathcal{X}_f$ coincides with $k(X)$ by the very definition of the generic fiber. Since $K(\mathcal{X}_f)=k(X)=K(\mathcal{X}_q)$, the K-curves $\mathcal{X}_f$ and $\mathcal{X}_q$ are birational. Taking into account that both curves are smooth projective and absolutely irreducible over K, we conclude that $\mathcal{X}_f$ and $\mathcal{X}_q$ are biregularly isomorphic over K. This implies that $\mathcal{X}_f$ has genus zero and has no $k(Y)$-rational points. Thus $f: X \to Y$ is the conic bundle we wanted to construct.

Lemma 3.4. *Let X and Y be smooth irreducible projective varieties of positive dimension over k, $f: X \to Y$ be a surjective morphism, such that the general fiber $F_y = f^{-1}(y)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1_k$. Let us identify $k(Y)$ with its image in $k(X)$. Assume additionally that Y is non-uniruled. (E.g., Y is an abelian variety.)*

Then every k-linear automorphism σ of the field $k(X)$ leaves invariant $k(Y)$, i.e.,

$$\sigma(k(Y)) = k(Y),\ \forall \sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(k(X)).$$

In addition, there is exactly one birational automorphism u_Y of Y, whose action on $k(Y)$ coincides with σ.

Proof. There is a birational automorphism u_X of X that induces σ on $k(X)$. Let $\tilde{X} \stackrel{\pi}{\to} X$ be a resolution of indeterminancy of u_X, i.e., we consider a smooth irreducible projective $k-$variety $\tilde{X}$, and birational morphisms

$$\pi, \tilde{u}_X : \tilde{X} \to X$$

that enjoy the following properties.

- $\pi^{-1} : X \dashrightarrow \tilde{X}$ is an isomorphism outside the indeterminancy locus of u_X;
- the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & & \\ \pi\downarrow & \searrow \tilde{u_X} & \\ X & \overset{u_X}{\dashrightarrow} X & \\ f\downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y & & Y \end{array} .$$

Consider morphisms $\tilde{f} = f \circ \pi : \tilde{X} \to Y$ and $g = f \circ \tilde{u}_X : \tilde{X} \to Y$.

Let $\Sigma_1 \subset X,\ \Sigma_2 \subset X$ be the loci of indeterminancy of π^{-1} and $\tilde{u}_X^{-1}$ respectively.

Since $\mathrm{codim}_X(\Sigma_1) \geq 2$ and $\mathrm{codim}_X(\Sigma_2) \geq 2$, we obtain that $\mathrm{codim}_Y(f(\Sigma_1)) \geq 1$ and $\mathrm{codim}_Y(f(\Sigma_2)) \geq 1$. (Recall that $\dim(X) = \dim(Y) + 1$.)

This implies that there is a nonempty open subset $U \subset Y \setminus (f(\Sigma_1) \cup f(\Sigma_2))$ such that

$$\tilde{F}_y := \tilde{f}^{-1}(y) = \pi^{-1}(F_y) \cong F_y \cong \mathbb{P}^1$$

and

$$G_y := g^{-1}(y) = u_X^{-1}(F_y) \cong F_y \cong \mathbb{P}^1$$

for all $y \in U(k)$.

Since Y is non-uniruled, $g(\tilde{F}_y)$ and $\tilde{f}(G_y)$ are points for every $y \in U(k)$ (see, e.g. [14, Chapter IV, Proposition 1.3, (1.3.4), p. 183]).

It follows from Kawamata's Lemma [11, Lemma 10.7 on pp. 314–315] applied (twice) to morphisms

$$\tilde{f}, g : \tilde{X} \to Y$$

that there exist rational maps $h_1, h_2 : Y \dashrightarrow Y$ such that

$$g = h_1 \circ \tilde{f},\ \tilde{f} = h_2 \circ g.$$

This implies that h_1 and h_2 are mutually inverse birational automorphisms of Y. Let us put

$$u_Y := h_1 \in \mathrm{Bir}(Y).$$

Then u_Y may be included into the commutative digram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & & \\ \pi\downarrow & \searrow \tilde{u_X} & \\ X & \overset{u_X}{\dashrightarrow} X & \\ f\downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y & \overset{u_Y}{\dashrightarrow} Y & \end{array} .$$

For the corresponding embeddings $k(Y) \hookrightarrow k(X)$ of fields of rational functions we have: $f^* \circ (u_Y)^* = \sigma \circ f^*$, thus

$$\sigma(k(Y)) = k(Y).$$

□

Remark 3.5. Lemma 3.4 follows from the Theorem on *Maximal Rational Connected Fibrations* [14, Chapter IV, Theorem 5.5, p.223]. However, our particular case is much easier, so we were tempted to provide a simple proof rather than to use the powerful theory.

The next statement follows immediately from Lemma 3.4.

Corollary 3.6. *Keeping the notation and assumptions of Lemma 3.4, the map*

$$u_X \mapsto u_Y$$

gives rise to the group homomorphism $\mathrm{Bir}(X) \to \mathrm{Bir}(Y)$*, whose kernel is*

$$\mathrm{Aut}(k(X)/k(X)) = \mathrm{Bir}_{k(Y)}(\mathcal{X}_f)$$

(see (1)*) where* $\mathcal{X}_f$ *is the generic fiber of* f*. In particular, we get an exact sequence of groups*

$$\{1\} \to \mathrm{Bir}_{k(Y)}(\mathcal{X}_f) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Bir}(X) \to \mathrm{Bir}(Y).$$

Remark 3.7. The special case of Corollary 3.6 when Y is an abelian surface may be deduced from [25, Cor. 1.7].

Corollary 3.8. *Keeping the notation and assumptions of Lemma 3.4, suppose that* $\mathrm{Bir}(\mathcal{X}_f)$ *is bounded. Then* $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ *is strongly Jordan.*

Proof. By results of Prokhorov and Shramov (Theorem 1.2 and Remark 1.3), $\mathrm{Bir}(Y)$ is strongly Jordan, because Y is *non-uniruled*. More precisely, they proved in [23, Corllary 6.8] that group $\mathrm{Bir}(Y)$ is Jordan provided Y is non-uniruled. In [23, Remark 6.9] they claim that actually if Y is non-uniruled then $\mathrm{Bir}(Y)$ has finite subgroups of bounded rank (and therefore is strongly Jordan): the proof has to be based on the same arguments as the proof of Cor. 6.8 of [23] but is not presented. Let us provide the needed mild modifications of the proof of Cor. 6.8 of [23] in order to obtain that non-uniruledness of Y implies having finite subgroups of bounded rank. Indeed, for Y non-uniruled and for a finite commutative subgroup $G \subset \mathrm{Bir}(Y)$ one has (using [23, Proposition 6.2] and its notation except replacing X by Y and X_{nu} by Y_{nu}) the following.

- $Y_{\mathrm{nu}} \sim Y$ (thanks to Lemma 4.4 and Remark 4.5 of [23, Lemma 4.4 on p. 2061]) and the group G_{nu} is isomorphic to G. In particular, G_{nu} is also finite and commutative.
- There are short exact sequences ([23, p. 2063, 6.5])

$$1 \to G_{alg} \to G \to G_N \to 1,$$

$$1 \to G_L \to G_{alg} \to G_{ab} \to 1,$$

  where
  (1) The finite groups G_{alg} and G_N are commutative.
  (2) $\mathrm{rk}(G_{\mathrm{ab}}) \leq m_1$ where m_1 depends only on $q(Y)$.

(3) $\mid G_L \mid \leq n = n(Y)$, i.e. G_L is finite and its order is bounded from above by a number n that depends on Y but not on G. (This follows from Lemma 5.2 of [23, p. 2062].)
(4) It follows from (2) and (3) combined with the exact sequence (6.5) of [23, p. 2063] that $\mathrm{rk}(G_{\mathrm{alg}}) \leq n + m_1$.
(5) $\mid G_N \mid \leq b := b(Y)$ is bounded from above by a number $b(Y)$ that depends only on Y. (It follows from Cor. 2.14 of [23, p. 2058].)

It follows from the exact sequences that

$$\mathrm{rk}(G) = \mathrm{rk}(G_{\mathrm{nu}}) \leq \mathrm{rk}(G_{\mathrm{alg}}) + b(Y) \leq (n + m_1) + b(Y) =: m(Y)$$

where the bound $m(Y)$ depends on Y but does not depend on G. This proves that $\mathrm{Bir}(Y)$ has finite subgroups of bounded rank.

So, we know that $\mathrm{Bir}(Y)$ is strongly Jordan. Now the desired result follows readily from Corollary 3.6 combined with Lemma 2.2. □

In the next section we prove that $\mathrm{Bir}(\mathcal{X}_f)$ is bounded if $\mathcal{X}_f$ is *not* the projective line over $k(Y)$.

4. Linear Algebra

Throughout this section K is a field of characteristic 0 that contains *all* roots of unity. Let V be a vector space over K of finite positive dimension d. We write 1_V for the identity automorphism of V. As usual, $\mathrm{End}_K(V)$ stands for the algebra of K-linear operators in V and

$$\mathrm{Aut}_K(V) = \mathrm{End}_K(V)^*$$

for the group of linear invertible operators in V. We write

$$\det = \det_V : \mathrm{End}_K(V) \to K$$

for the determinant map. It is well known that $\mathrm{Aut}_K(V)$ consists of all elements of $\mathrm{End}_K(V)$ with *nonzero* determinant and

$$\det : \mathrm{Aut}_K(V) \to K^*$$

is a group homomorphism.

Since K has characteristic zero and contains all roots of unity, every periodic (K-linear) automorphism $u \in \mathrm{Aut}_K(V)$ of V admits a basis of V that consists of eigenvectors of u, because u is semisimple and all its eigenvalues lie in K.

Let

$$\phi : V \times V \to K$$

be a nondegenerate symmetric K-bilinear form that is *anisotropic*, i.e. $\phi(v, v) \neq 0$ for all *nonzero* $v \in V$. The form ϕ defines the *involution of the first kind*

$$\sigma = \sigma_\phi : \mathrm{End}_K(V) \to \mathrm{End}_K(V)$$

characterized by the property

$$\phi(ux,y)=\phi(x,\sigma(u)y)\ \forall x,y\in V$$

(see [13, Ch. 1]). It is known [13, Ch. 1, Sect. 2, Cor. 2.2 on p.14 and Prop. 2.19 on p. 24] that

$$\det(u)=\det(\sigma(u))\ \forall u\in \mathrm{End}_K(V).$$

We write $\mathrm{GO}(V,\phi)\subset \mathrm{Aut}_K(V)$ for the (sub)group of *similitudes* of ϕ. In other words, a K-linear automorphism u of V lies in $\mathrm{GO}(V,\phi)$ if and only if there exists

$$\mu=\mu(g)\in K^*$$

such that

$$\phi(ux,uy)=\mu\cdot\phi(x,y)\ \forall x,y\in V.$$

If this is the case then

$$\sigma(u)u=\mu\cdot 1_V.$$

Clearly,

$$K^*\cdot 1_V\subset \mathrm{GO}(V,\phi).$$

We have

$$\mathrm{SO}(V,\phi)\subset \mathrm{O}(V,\phi)\subset \mathrm{GO}(V,\phi)$$

where

$$\mathrm{O}(V,\phi)=\{u\in \mathrm{Aut}_K(V)\mid \phi(ux,uy)=\phi(x,y)\ \forall x,y\in V\}$$

while $\mathrm{SO}(V,\phi)$ consists of all elements of $\mathrm{O}(V,\phi)$ with determinant 1. (Recall that elements of $\mathrm{O}(V,\phi)$ have determinant 1 or -1. In particular, $\mathrm{SO}(V,\phi)$ is a normal subgroup of index 2 in $\mathrm{O}(V,\phi)$.) Clearly,

$$\mathrm{O}(V,\phi)=\{u\in \mathrm{Aut}_K(V)\mid \sigma(u)u=1_V\}.$$

It is also clear that

$$\mathrm{GO}(V,\phi)\to K^*,\ u\mapsto \mu(u)$$

is a group homomorphism, whose kernel coincides with $\mathrm{O}(V,\phi)$; in particular, $\mathrm{O}(V,\phi)$ is a *normal* subgroup of $\mathrm{GO}(V,\phi)$. It is well known (and may be easily checked) that

$$\mathrm{O}(V,\phi)\bigcap[K^*\cdot 1_V]=\{\pm 1_V\};$$

in addition, if $d=\dim(V)$ is *odd* then

$$\mathrm{SO}(V,\phi)\bigcap[K^*\cdot 1_V]=\{1_V\}.$$

We denote by $\mathrm{PGO}(V,\phi)$ the quotient group $\mathrm{GO}(V,\phi)/(K^*\cdot 1_V)$.

Remark 4.1. The importance of the group $\mathrm{PGO}(V,\phi)$ is explained by the following result [5, Sect. 69, Corollary 69.6 on p. 310]. Let

$$q(v):=\phi(v,v)$$

be the corresponding quadratic form on V and let

$$X_q\subset \mathbb{P}(V)$$

be the projective quadric defined by the equation $q(v) = 0$, which is a smooth projective irreducible $(d-2)$-dimensional variety over K. Then the groups $\mathrm{Aut}(X_q)$ and $\mathrm{PGO}(V, \phi)$ are isomorphic.

Remark 4.2. Restricting the surjection

$$\mathrm{GO}(V, \phi) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{GO}(V, \phi)/(K^* \cdot 1_V) = \mathrm{PGO}(V, \phi)$$

to the subgroup $\mathrm{O}(V, \phi)$, we get a group homomorphism

$$\mathrm{O}(V, \phi) \to \mathrm{PGO}(V, \phi),$$

whose kernel is a finite subgroup $\{\pm 1_V\}$. This implies that if u is an element of $\mathrm{O}(V, \phi)$, whose image in $\mathrm{PGO}(V, \phi)$ has finite order then u itself has finite order.

Lemma 4.3. *Let u be an element of finite order in $\mathrm{O}(V, \phi)$. Then $u^2 = 1_V$.*

Proof. Let λ be an eigenvalue of u. Then λ is a root of unity and therefore lies in K. This implies that there is a (nonzero) eigenvector $x \in V$ with $ux = \lambda x$. Since $u \in \mathrm{O}(V, \phi)$,

$$\phi(ux, ux) = \phi(x, x).$$

Since $ux = \lambda x$,

$$\phi(ux, ux) = \phi(\lambda x, \lambda x) = \lambda^2 \phi(x, x)$$

and therefore $\lambda^2 \phi(x, x) = \phi(x, x)$. Since ϕ is anisotropic, $\phi(x, x) \neq 0$ and therefore $\lambda^2 = 1$. In other words, every eigenvalue of u^2 is 1 and therefore (semisimple) $u^2 = 1_V$. □

Corollary 4.4. *Let G be a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(V, \phi)$. If G does not coincide with $\{1_V\}$ then it is a commutative group of exponent 2, whose order divides 2^d. If, in addition, G lies in $\mathrm{SO}(V, \phi)$ then its order divides 2^{d-1}.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, every $u \in G$ satisfies $u^2 = 1_V$. This implies that G is commutative. In addition, G is a 2-group, i.e., its order is a power of 2. The commutativeness of G implies that there is a basis of V such that the matrices of all elements of G become diagonal with respect to this basis. Since all the diagonal entries are either 1 or -1, the order of G does not exceed 2^d and therefore divides 2^d. If, in addition, all elements of G have determinant 1 then the order of G does not exceed 2^{d-1} and, therefore, divides 2^{d-1}. □

Corollary 4.5. *Let $\mathbf{u}$ be an element of finite order in $\mathrm{PGO}(V, \phi)$. Then $\mathbf{u}^4 = 1$.*

Proof. Choose an element u of $\mathrm{GO}(V, \phi)$ such that its image in $\mathrm{PGO}(V, \phi)$ coincides with $\mathbf{u}$. Then there is $\mu \in K^*$ such that

$$\phi(ux, uy) = \mu \phi(x, y) \ \forall \ x, y \in V.$$

This implies that $u_2 := \mu^{-1} u^2$ lies in $\mathrm{O}(V, \phi)$. Clearly, the image $\bar{u}_2 \in \mathrm{PGO}(V, \phi)$ of u_2 coincides with $\mathbf{u}^2$ and therefore has finite order. By Corollary 4.2. u_2 has finite order. It follows from Lemma 4.3 that $u_2^2 = 1_V$. This implies that $\mathbf{u}^2$ has order 1 or 2 and therefore the order of $\mathbf{u}$ divides 4. □

Corollary 4.6. *If $\mathcal{B}$ is a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{PGO}(V,\phi)$ then it sits in a short exact sequence*

$$\{1\} \to \mathcal{A}_1 \to \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}_2 \to \{1\}$$

where both $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ are finite elementary commutative 2-groups and $|\mathcal{A}_1|$ divides 2^{d-1}. In particular, each finite subgroup $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathrm{PGO}(V,\phi)$ is a finite 2-group such that

$$[[\mathcal{B},\mathcal{B}],[\mathcal{B},\mathcal{B}]] = \{1\}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}_1$ be the subgroup of all elements of $\mathcal{B}$ that are the images of elements of $\mathrm{O}(V,\phi)$. Since $\mathrm{O}(V,\phi)$ is normal in $\mathrm{GO}(V,\phi)$, the subgroup $\mathcal{A}_1$ is normal in $\mathcal{B}$. It follows from the proof of Corollary 4.5 that for each $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{B}$ its square $\mathbf{u}^2$ lies in $\mathcal{A}_1$. This implies that all the elements of the quotient $\mathcal{A}_2 := \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{A}_1$ have order 1 or 2. It follows that $\mathcal{A}_2$ is an elementary abelian 2-group. We get a short exact sequence

$$\{1\} \to \mathcal{A}_1 \to \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}_2 \to \{1\}.$$

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1$ be the preimage of $\mathcal{A}_1$ in $\mathrm{O}(V,\phi)$. Clearly, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(V,\phi)$ and $|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1| = 2 \cdot |\mathcal{A}_1|$. On the other hand, it follows from Corollary 4.4 that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1$ is an elementary abelian 2-group, whose order divides 2^d. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1$ maps *onto* $\mathcal{A}_1$, the latter is also an elementary abelian 2-group and its order divides $\frac{1}{2}2^d = 2^{d-1}$. Since

$$|\mathcal{B}| = |\mathcal{A}_1| \cdot |\mathcal{A}_2|,$$

the order of $\mathcal{B}$ is a power of 2, i.e., $\mathcal{B}$ is a finite 2-group. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{A}_1$ is abelian, $[\mathcal{B},\mathcal{B}] \subset \mathcal{A}_1$. Since $\mathcal{A}_1$ is abelian,

$$[[\mathcal{B},\mathcal{B}],[\mathcal{B},\mathcal{B}]] \subset [\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_1] = \{1\},$$

i.e., $[[\mathcal{B},\mathcal{B}],[\mathcal{B},\mathcal{B}]] = \{1\}$. □

In the case of *odd* d we can do better. Let us start with the following observation.

Lemma 4.7. *Suppose that $d = 2\ell + 1$ is an odd integer that is greater or equal than 3. Then every $u \in \mathrm{GO}(V,\phi)$ can be presented as*

$$u = \mu_0 \cdot u_0$$

with $u_0 \in \mathrm{SO}(V,\phi)$ and $\mu_0 \in K^*$

Example 4.8. If u is an element of $\mathrm{O}(V,\phi)$ with determinant -1 then

$$u = (-1) \cdot (-u),\ (-u) \in \mathrm{SO}(V,\phi).$$

Proof of Lemma 4.7. Recall that there is $\mu \in K^*$ such that

$$\phi(ux,uy) = \mu \cdot \phi(x,y)\ \forall x,y \in V$$

and therefore

$$\sigma(u)u = \mu \cdot 1_V.$$

Now let $\gamma \in K^*$ be the determinant of u. Since $\det(\sigma(u)) = \det(u)$, we obtain that

$$\mu^{2\ell+1} = \mu^d = \det(\mu \cdot 1_V) = \det(\sigma(u)u) = \det(\sigma(u))\det(u) = \gamma^2.$$

This implies that

$$\gamma^2 = \mu^d = \mu^{2\ell+1}.$$

Let us put

$$\mu_0 = \frac{\gamma}{\mu^\ell},\ u_0 = \mu_0^{-1} \cdot u.$$

Then

$$\mu_0^2 = \mu,\ \gamma = \mu_0^{2\ell+1} = \mu_0^d,$$
$$u = \mu_0 \cdot u_0,\ \det(u_0) = \mu_0^{-d} \cdot \det(u) = \gamma^{-1}\gamma = 1.$$

We also have

$$\phi(u_0x, u_0y) = \phi(\mu_0^{-1}ux, \mu_0^{-1}uy) = \mu_0^{-2}\cdot\phi(ux, uy) = \mu^{-1}\phi(ux, uy) = \mu^{-1}\cdot\mu\cdot\phi(x, y) = \phi(x, y).$$

This implies that $u_0 \in \mathrm{O}(V, \phi)$. Since $\det(u_0) = 1$,

$$u_0 \in \mathrm{SO}(V, \phi).$$

□

Corollary 4.9. *Suppose that $d = 2\ell + 1$ is an odd integer that is greater or equal than 3. Then the group homomorphism*

$$\mathrm{prod} : K^*1_V \times \mathrm{SO}(V, \phi) \to \mathrm{GO}(V, \phi),\ (\mu_0 \cdot 1_V, u_0) \mapsto \mu_0 \cdot u_0$$

is a group isomorphism. In particular, the group $\mathrm{PGO}(V, \phi) = \mathrm{GO}(V, \phi)/(K^*1_V)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(V, \phi)$.

Proof. Since d is odd,

$$\mathrm{SO}(V, \phi) \bigcap [K^* \cdot 1_V] = \{1_V\},$$

which implies that prod is injective. Its surjectiveness follows from Lemma 4.7. □

Theorem 4.10. *Suppose that K is a field of characteristic zero that contains all roots of unity, $d \geq 3$ is an odd integer, V is a d-dimensional K-vector space and*

$$\phi : V \times V \to K$$

a nondegenerate symmetric K-bilinear form that is anisotropic, i.e. $\phi(v, v) \neq 0$ for all nonzero $v \in V$.

Let G be a finite subgroup in $\mathrm{PGO}(V, \phi)$. Then G is commutative, all its non-identity elements have order 2 and the order of G divides 2^{d-1}.

Proof. The result follows readily from Corollary 4.9 combined with Corollary 4.4. □

Corollary 4.11. *Suppose that K is a field of characteristic zero that contains all roots of unity, $d \geq 3$ an odd integer, V a d-dimensional K-vector space and let $q : V \to K$ be a quadratic form such that $q(v) \neq 0$ for all nonzero $v \in V$. Let us consider the projective quadric $X_q \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ defined by the equation $q = 0$, which is a smooth projective irreducible $(d-2)$-dimensional variety over K. Let $\mathrm{Aut}(X_q)$ be the group of biregular automorphisms of X_q. Let G be a finite subgroup in $\mathrm{Aut}(X_q)$. Then G is commutative, all its non-identity elements have order 2 and the order of G divides 2^{d-1}.*

Proof. Let $\phi : V \times V \to K$ be the symmetric K-bilinear form such that

$$\phi(v, v) = q(v) \ \forall v \in V.$$

Namely, for all $x, y \in V$

$$\phi(x, y) := \frac{q(x + y) - q(x) - q(y)}{2} .$$

Clearly, ϕ is nondegenerate. In the notation of [5, Sect. 69, p. 310],

$$\mathrm{GO}(q) = \mathrm{GO}(V, \phi), \ \mathrm{PGO}(q) = \mathrm{PGO}(V, \phi).$$

By Corollary 69.6 of [5, Sect. 69], the groups $\mathrm{Aut}(X_q)$ and $\mathrm{PGO}(q)$ are isomorphic. Now the result follows from Theorem 4.10. □

Corollary 4.12. *Suppose that K is a field of characteristic zero that contains all roots of unity. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a smooth irreducible projective genus 0 curve over K that is not biregular to $\mathbb{P}^1$ over K.*

Let $\mathrm{Bir}_K(\mathcal{C})$ be the group of birational automorphisms of $\mathcal{C}$. Let G be a finite subgroup in $\mathrm{Bir}_K(\mathcal{C})$. Then G is commutative, all its non-identity elements have order 2 and the order of G divides 4. In other words, if G is nontrivial then it is either a cyclic group of order 2 or is isomorphic to a product of two cyclic groups of order 2.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{C}$ has genus zero, it is K-biregular to a smooth projective plane quadric

$$\mathcal{X} = \{a_1 T_1^2 + a_2 T_2^2 + a_3 T_3^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$$

where all a_i are *nonzero* elements of K. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is *not* biregular to $\mathbb{P}^1$, the set $\mathcal{X}(K)$ is *empty* ([10, Th. A.4.3.1 on p. 75], [5, Sect. 45, Prop. 45.1 on p. 194]), which means that the nondegenerate ternary quadratic form

$$q(T) = a_1 T_1^2 + a_2 T_2^2 + a_3 T_3^2$$

is *anisotropic*. We may view q as the quadratic form on the coordinate three-dimensional K-vector space $V = K^3$. Then (in the notation of Corollary 4.11) $d = 3$ and $\mathcal{X} = X_q$. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is a smooth projective curve, its group $\mathrm{Bir}_K(\mathcal{X})$ of birational automorphisms coincides with the group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ of biregular automorphisms. Now the result follows from Corollary 4.11. □

The rest of this section deals with the case of *even* d; its results will not be used elsewhere in the paper.

Theorem 4.13. *Suppose that K is a field of characteristic zero that contains all roots of unity, $d \ge 2$ is an even positive integer, V is a d-dimensional K-vector space, and*

$$\phi : V \times V \to K$$

a nondegenerate symmetric K-bilinear form that is anisotropic, i.e. $\phi(v, v) \ne 0$ for all nonzero $v \in V$. Then the group $\mathrm{PGO}(V, \phi)$ is bounded. More precisely, there is a positive integer $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}(d)$ that depends only on d and such that every finite subgroup of $\mathrm{PGO}(V, \phi)$ has order dividing $2^{\mathbf{n}(d)}$.

Proof. We deduce Theorem 4.13 from Theorem 2.5. Let $\mathrm{Aut}_K(\mathrm{End}_K(V))$ be the group of automorphisms of the K-algebra $\mathrm{End}_K(V)$. We write $\mathcal{V}_2$ for $\mathrm{End}_K(V)$ viewed as the d^2-dimensional K-vector space and $\mathrm{Aut}_K(\mathcal{V}_2)$ for its group of K-linear automorphisms. We have

$$\mathrm{Aut}_K(\mathrm{End}_K(V)) \subset \mathrm{Aut}_K(\mathcal{V}_2).$$

Let us choose a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_{d^2}\}$ of $\mathcal{V}_2$. Such a choice gives us a group isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Aut}_K(\mathcal{V}_2) \cong \mathrm{GL}(d^2, K).$$

Let us consider a group homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ad} : \mathrm{GO}(V,\phi) \subset \mathrm{Aut}_K(V) \to \mathrm{Aut}_K(\mathrm{End}_K(V)),\ u \mapsto \{w \mapsto uwu^{-1}\ \forall\ w \in \mathrm{End}_K(V)\}$$

for all $u \in \mathrm{GO}(V,\phi) \subset \mathrm{Aut}_K(V)$. Clearly,

$$\ker(\mathrm{Ad}) = K^* \cdot 1_V.$$

This gives us an embedding

$$\mathrm{PGO}(V,\phi) = \mathrm{GO}(V,\phi)/\{K^* \cdot 1_V\} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_K(\mathrm{End}_K(V)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_K(\mathcal{V}_2) \cong \mathrm{GL}(d^2, K).$$

This implies that $\mathrm{PGO}(V,\phi)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(d^2, K)$. By Corollary 4.5, every periodic element in $\mathrm{PGO}(V,\phi)$ has order dividing 4. This implies (thanks to First Sylow Theorem) that the order of every finite subgroup of $\mathrm{PGO}(V,\phi)$ is a power of 2. In other words, all finite subgroups in $\mathrm{PGO}(V,\phi)$ are 2-groups. Now the desired result follows from Theorem 2.5 (applied to $n = d^2$ and $N = 4$). □

Combining Theorem 4.13, Corollary 4.6 and Remark 4.2, we obtain the following assertion.

Theorem 4.14. *Suppose that K is a field of characteristic zero that contains all roots of unity, $d \geq 2$ an even integer, V a d-dimensional K-vector space. Let $q : V \to K$ be a quadratic form such that $q(v) \neq 0$ for all nonzero $v \in V$. Let us consider the projective quadric $X_q \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ defined by the equation $q = 0$, which is a smooth projective irreducible $(d-2)$-dimensional variety over K. Let $\mathrm{Aut}(X_q)$ be the group of biregular automorphisms of X_q.*

Then:

(1) $\mathrm{Aut}(X_q)$ *is bounded. More precisely, there is a positive integer $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}(d)$ that depends only on d and such that every finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(X_q)$ has order dividing $2^{\mathbf{n}(d)}$.*

(2) *If $\mathcal{B}$ is a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(X_q)$ then it is a finite 2-group that sits in a short exact sequence*

$$\{1\} \to \mathcal{A}_1 \to \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}_2 \to \{1\}$$

where both $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ are finite elementary abelian 2-groups and $|\mathcal{A}_1|$ divides 2^{d-1}. In particular,

$$[[\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}], [\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}]] = \{1\}.$$

We hope to return to a classification of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(X_q)$ (for even d) in a future publication.

5. Jordaness properties of Bir

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let us put $K = k(Y)$. Then $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$. Since K contains algebraically closed k, it contains all roots of unity. In the notation of Corollary 4.12 let us put $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{X}_f$. Since $\mathcal{X}_f$ has no K-points, it is *not* biregular to $\mathbb{P}^1$ over K.

It follows from Corollary 4.12 that $\mathrm{Bir}(\mathcal{X}_f)$ is *bounded*. Now Corollary 3.8 implies that $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ is strongly Jordan. □

Proof of Theorem 1.6. It follows from Remark 3.1 that $f : X \to Y$ is a conic bundle. In particular, the generic fiber $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_f$ is an absolutely irreducible smooth projective genus zero curve over $K := k(Y)$.

Since each K-point of $\mathcal{X}$ gives rise to a rational section $Y \dashrightarrow X$ of f, there are no K-points on $\mathcal{X}$. Now the result follows from Theorem 1.5. □

Example 5.1. Let Y be a smooth irreducible projective variety over k of dimension ≥ 2. Let a_1, a_2, a_3 be nonzero elements of $k(Y)$ such that the ternary quadratic form

$$q(T) = a_1T_1^2 + a_2T_2^2 + a_3T_3^2$$

is *anisotropic* over $k(Y)$. Example 3.3 gives us a a smooth irreducible projective variety $\tilde{X}_q$ and a surjective regular map $f : \tilde{X}_q \to Y$, whose generic fiber is the quadric

$$\{a_1T_1^2 + a_2T_2^2 + a_3T_3^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2_{k(Y)}$$

over $k(Y)$ without $k(Y)$-points. Now Theorem 1.5 tells us that $\mathrm{Bir}(\tilde{X}_q)$ is *strongly Jordan* if Y is non-uniruled.

Remark 5.2. Recall (Example 3.3) that if $\dim(Y) \geq 2$ then there always exists an *anisotropic* ternary quadratic form over $k(Y)$. (A theorem of Tsen implies that such a form does *not* exists if $\dim(Y) = 1$.)

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Recall that an abelian variety Y does *not* contain rational curves; in particular, it is *not* uniruled. Now Theorem 1.4 follows from Theorem 1.5. □

Theorem 5.3. *Let $d \geq 3$ be an integer. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the collection of groups $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ where X runs through the set of smooth irreducible projective d-dimensional varieties that can be realized as conic bundles $f : X \to Y$ over a $(d-1)$-dimensional abelian variety Y but X is not birational to $Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is uniformly strongly Jordan.*

Proof. It follows from Remark 3.2 that the generic fiber $\mathcal{X}_f$ of f has *no* $k(Y)$-rational points. It follows from Corollary 4.12 that the collection of groups of the form $\mathrm{Bir}(\mathcal{X}_f)$ is uniformly bounded - actually, the order of every finite subgroup in $\mathrm{Bir}(\mathcal{X}_f)$ divides 4. Recall (Corollary 3.6) that there is an exact sequence

$$\{1\} \to \mathrm{Bir}(\mathcal{X}_f) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Bir}(X) \to \mathrm{Bir}(Y).$$

Now the result follows from Corollary 2.3. □

Remark 5.4. The condition that k-varieties X, Y in Theorem 1.4, Theorem 1.5, and Theorem 1.6 are smooth, is non-essential. Indeed, let X, Y be irreducible projective varieties of dimensions d and $d-1$, respectively, endowed with a surjective morphism $f : X \to Y$. Let Y be non-uniruled. Due to the resolution of singularities (see, for example, [15, Chapter 3, section 3.3]) one can always find two smooth projective irreducible varieties $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}$, the birational morphisms $\pi_X : \tilde{X} \to X,\ \pi_Y : \tilde{Y} \to Y,$ and a morphism $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \to \tilde{Y}$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{Y} \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_X}\big\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \pi_Y}\big\downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array} . \tag{2}$$

Then the following properties are valid:

1. $\tilde{Y}$ is non-uniruled, since it is birational to the non-uniruled Y (see, for example, [4, Chapter 4, Remark 4.2]).
2. If the general fiber $F_u := f^{-1}(u),\ u \in U \subset Y$ is irreducible, then so is the general fiber $\tilde{F}_v := \tilde{f}^{-1}(v),\ v \in V \subset \tilde{Y}$ of $\tilde{f}$ (here U, V are open dense subsets of $Y,\ \tilde{Y}$, respectively).
   Indeed, there is an open dense $V' \subset \tilde{Y}$ such that
   –π_Y is an isomorphism of V' to $\pi_Y(V')$;
   –for every $v \in V'$ the exceptional set S_X of morphism π_X intersects the fiber $\tilde{F}_v$ of $\tilde{f}$ only at an empty or a finite set of points. It is valid, because $\dim(S_X) \le \dim(\tilde{Y}) = d-1$, hence the restriction of $\tilde{f}$ onto an irreducible component of S_X is either non-dominant, or generically finite.
   Thus, $\tilde{F}_v \cap (\tilde{X} \setminus S_X)$ is open and dense in $\tilde{F}_v$ for point $v \in V'$ (because every irreducible component of $\tilde{F}_v$ has dimension at least 1). On the other hand, $\tilde{F}_v \cap (\tilde{X} \setminus S_X)$ is isomorphic via π_X to $F_{\pi_Y(v)} \cap (X \setminus \pi_X(S_X))$, which is an open and dense subset of irreducible $F_{\pi_Y(v)}$, if $\pi_Y(v) \in U$. Hence, for all $v \in V' \cap \pi_Y^{-1}(U)$ the fibers $\tilde{F}_v$ and $F_{\pi_Y(v)}$ are birational.
3. If the generic fiber $\mathcal{X}_f$ of f is absolutely irreducible, so is generic the fiber $\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{f}}$ of $\tilde{f}$. Indeed, in this case the general fiber of f is irreducible (see [8, Proposition 9.7.8]). According to property [2], the general fiber of $\tilde{f}$ is also irreducible, and, hence, so is $\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{f}}$ (*ibid*).
4. The general and generic fibers $\tilde{F}_v$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{f}}$ of $\tilde{f}$ are smooth, since $\tilde{f}$ is a surjective morphism between smooth projective varieties.

It follows that if the generic (respectively, general) fiber of f is a rational curve, then the generic (respectively, general) fiber of $\tilde{f}$ is a smooth rational curve. According to Theorem 1.5, (respectively, Theorem 1.6 ,) $\mathrm{Bir}(X) = \mathrm{Bir}(\tilde{X})$ has to be Jordan.

References

[1] T. Bandman, Yu.G. Zarhin, *Jordan groups and algebraic surfaces*. Transformation Groups **20** (2015), no. 2, 327–334.

[2] A. Borisov, *Boundedness theorem for Fano log-threefolds*. J. Algebraic Geometry **5** (1996), 119–133.

[3] C.W. Curtis, I.Reiner, Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras, Wiley, New York, 1962.

[4] O. Debarre, Higher-Dimensional Algebraic Geometry, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.

[5] R. Elman, N. Karpenko, A. Merkurjev, The algebraic and geometric theory of quadratic forms. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, **56**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.

[6] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique (rédigés avec la collaboration de J. Dieudonné): III, Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérants, première partie*. Publ. Math. IHES **11** (1961).

[7] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique (rédigés avec la collaboration de J. Dieudonné): IV, Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Seconde partie*. Publ. Math. IHES **24** (1965).

[8] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique (rédigés avec la collaboration de J. Dieudonné): IV, Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Troisiéme partie*. Publ. Math. IHES **28** (1966).

[9] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry. GTM **52**, Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1977.

[10] M. Hindry, J.H. Silverman, Diophantine Geometry: an Introduction. GTM **201**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.

[11] Sh. Iitaka, Algebraic Geometry, GTM 76. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1982.

[12] B. Kahn, *Quelques remarques sur le u-invariant*. Sém. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux (2) **2** (1990), no. 1, 155–161; Erratum **3** (1991), no. 1, 247.

[13] M.-A. Knus, A. Merkurjev, M. Rost, J.-P. Tignol, The book of involutions. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, **44**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.

[14] J. Kollar, Rational curves on algebraic varieties. Ergebnisse der Math. 3 Folge **32**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1996.

[15] J. Kollar, Lectures on resolution of singularities. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007.

[16] Sh. Meng, D.-Q. Zhang, *Jordan property for non-linear algebraic groups and projective varieties*. arXiv: 1507.02230 [math.AG].

[17] D. Mumford, Abelian varieties, second edition. Oxford University Press, 1974.

[18] D. Mumford, Lectures on curves on an algebraic surface. Ann. of Math. Studies **39**, Princeton University Press, 1966.

[19] D. Mumford, The Red Book of Varieties and Schemes. Lecture Notes in Math., vol. **1358**, Springer, 1999.

[20] I. Mundet i Riera, A. Turull, *Boosting an analogue of Jordan's theorem for finite groups*. Adv. Math. **272** (2015), 820–836.

[21] V.L. Popov, *On the Makar-Limanov, Derksen invariants, and finite automorphism groups of algebraic varieties*. In: Affine Algebraic Geometry (The Russell Festschrift), CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes **54**, American Mathematical Society, 2011, pp. 289–311.

[22] V.L. Popov, *Jordan groups and automorphism groups of algebraic varieties*. In: Automorphisms in Birational and Affine Geometry, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics **79** (2014), 185–213.
[23] Yu. Prokhorov, C. Shramov, *Jordan Property for groups of birational Selfmaps*. Compositio Math. **150** (2014), 2054–2072.
[24] Yu. Prokhorov, C. Shramov, *Jordan Property for Cremona groups*. Amer. J. Math. **138** (1016), 403–418.
[25] V.G. Sarkisov, *Birational automorphisms of conic bundles*. Math USSR Izv. **17** (1981), 177–202.
[26] V.G. Sarkisov, *On conic bundle structures*. Math USSR Izv. **20** (1982), 355–390.
[27] J-P. Serre, *A Minkowski-style bound for the orders of the finite subgroups of the Cremona group of rank 2 over an arbitrary field*. Moscow Math. J. **9** (2009), no. 1, 183–198.
[28] Yu.G. Zarhin, *Theta groups and products of abelian and rational varieties*. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **57**, issue 1 (2014), 299–304.
[29] Yu.G. Zarhin, *Jordan groups and elliptic ruled surfaces*. Transformation Groups **20** (2015), no. 2, 557–572.

Department of Mathematics, Bar-Ilan University, 5290002, Ramat Gan, ISRAEL
E-mail address: bandman@macs.biu.ac.il

Department of Mathematics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA
E-mail address: zarhin@math.psu.edu